

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,427	01/27/2004	William C. Maloney	127071.0018.000	4239
Mark A. Tidwe	7590 04/17/200 ell	EXAMINER		
	ALKER L.L.P.	NGUYEN, PHUNG		
Suite 2100 112 E. Pecan			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Antonio, TX 78205			2612	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

4
0,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/766,427	MALONEY, WILLIAM C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phung T. Nguyen	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 F	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 February 2007</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 26-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 43-46 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 26-42 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. Claims 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 36, 38, 39, 41, and 42 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 27, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 28, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 29, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 31, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 32, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 36, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 38, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 39, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 41, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Claim 42, line 1, change "claim 1" to --claim 26--

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,427

Art Unit: 2612

Claim 27 recites the limitation "said electronic memory". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 28 recites the limitation "said electronic memory". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 26, and 29-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saliga (U.S. Pat. 5,038,023).

Regarding claim 26: Saliga discloses system for storing and monitoring bar coded articles such as in a drawer comprising an elongated body having a first end and a second end and a first width, a tongue extending from the second end of said body, and an electronic device attached to said tongue (figures 1-3, col. 4, lines 21-28, and 54-60). Saliga does not specially teach the tongue having a second width smaller than the first width so as to form a shoulder where said tongue extends from the second end of said body as claimed. Since Saliga discloses an elongated body having a first end and a second end as seen in figure 2, it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to modify the device of Saliga in order to have a second width smaller than the first width so as to form a shoulder where the tongue extends from the second end of the body if desired because they are functional equivalent.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,427

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 29: Saliga discloses wherein said tongue is elongated and extends from a proximal end adjacent the second end of the elongated body to a distal end as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 30: Saliga discloses wherein said tongue tapers from said proximal end to said distal end as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 31: Saliga discloses wherein the electronic device is attached to the distal end of the tongue (fig. 2, col. 4, lines 54-60).

Regarding claim 32: Saliga discloses an attachment mechanism on said body for securing items thereto as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 33: Saliga discloses wherein said attachment mechanism is located at the first end of said elongated body as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 34: Saliga discloses wherein said attachment mechanism comprises an aperture as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 35: Saliga discloses wherein said attachment mechanism further comprises a rivet mounted in said aperture as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 36: Saliga discloses the tongue defined by a first face and a second face, wherein said electronic device protrudes from said first face as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 37: Saliga does not disclose wherein said electronic device is substantially flush with said second face. Since Saliga teaches the electronic device attaching to the second face, it would be an obvious design choice to have said electronic device is substantially flush with said second face.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,427

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 38: Saliga discloses the tongue defined by a first face and a second face, wherein said electronic device protrudes substantially from said first face and minimally from said second face as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 39: Saliga discloses wherein said elongated body tapers at said first end as seen in figure 2.

Regarding claim 40: Saliga discloses an attachment mechanism located adjacent said taper at said first end as shown in figure 2.

**Regarding claim 41:** Saliga discloses wherein said elongated body is formed of a non-conducting material (col. 4, lines 54-57).

**Regarding claim 42:** Saliga discloses wherein said elongated body is formed of plastic (col. 4, lines 54-57).

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 43-46 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 43 and 44, patentability resides in "an electronic memory device attached to said distal end of said tongue, said electronic memory device having an electronic identification code stored therein", in combination with the other limitations of the claim.

## Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. Peter et al. [US 4,661,806] disclose computer controlled key management system.
  - b. Pires et al. [US 6,592,028] disclose system and device for storing objects.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,427 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2968. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm Mon thru. Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

PHUNG T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Date: April 13, 2007